

Published on Web 09/17/2009

N-Heterocyclic Carbene-Catalyzed Hydroacylation of Unactivated Double Bonds

Keiichi Hirano, Akkattu T. Biju, Isabel Piel, and Frank Glorius*

Organisch-Chemisches Institut der Westfälischen Wilhelms-Universität Münster, Corrensstrasse 40,

48149 Münster, Germany

Received July 29, 2009; E-mail: glorius@uni-muenster.de

N-Heterocyclic carbene (NHC)-catalyzed umpolung reactions of aldehydes constitute an important class of organocatalysis and have found a broad range of applications in synthetic organic chemistry.¹ The corresponding nucleophilic acyl anion or homoenolate equivalent intermediates can react with various electrophiles, like aldehydes,² ketones,^{2c,3} imines,⁴ and activated, polarized C=C double bonds.⁵ The latter class of reactions is especially interesting, since olefins are ubiquitous in organic chemistry. Intriguingly, however, whereas many transition-metal-catalyzed processes make use of unactivated olefin substrates, the incorporation of unactivated olefins in organocatalyzed reactions is very rare. The organocatalyzed addition of aldehydes, converted to acyl anion equivalents by umpolung, onto unactivated olefins-the hydroacylation of olefins-has not been previously reported.⁶ In this Communication, we report the first NHC-organocatalyzed hydroacylation of unactivated olefins, namely the first Stetter reaction with unactivated olefins.

Our study commenced with the optimization of the cyclization of O-allylated *o*-vanillin **1a** to the corresponding chromanone **2a** (Table 1).⁷ Among a wide range of NHCs, the carbene generated by deprotonation with 1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene (DBU) from thiazolium salt **3**⁸ showed the best reactivity, within 1 h providing the desired chroman-4-one **2a** in 69% yield (based on GC; entry 1). Other common NHCs generated from **4**–**7** and other azolium salts⁷ reduced the yield dramatically (entries 2–5). The excess amount of DBU with respect to **3** is essential to achieve satisfactory yields (entry 6), and a strong base is required to promote the desired reaction efficiently (entries 7–9). Finally, a longer reaction time of 2 h improved the isolated yield to 85% (entry 11).

This new method can be applied to a range of substrates with different substitution patterns of the aromatic ring (Table 2). First, various electron-donating substituents at the 2-position are well tolerated (2a-d). In addition, aldehydes bearing halides on the aromatic ring readily cyclize to afford the corresponding chromanones (2e-g). Methylthioether, trimethylsilyl, phenyl, cinnamyl, and even a strongly electron-withdrawing trifluoromethyl substituent are all tolerated (2h-l). Furthermore, disubstituted aldehydes with alkyl as well as halogen and ether substitution undergo the hydroacylation in good yields (2m-p). The transformations of the naphthaldehydes 1q,r resulted in smooth product formation in high yields of up to 95% (2q,r). An even more highly substituted chromanone 2s is obtained in 77% yield. Finally, the unsubstituted parent system 1t also works well, providing chromanone 2t. Moreover, this novel transformation is not limited to chromanone formation, but instead, a nitrogen tether can successfully replace the oxygen linkage, giving dihydroquinolinone 2u in 71% yield.

Next, substrates with differently substituted allyl moieties were tested. The (*E*)-crotyl-substituted substrate **8a** gave only trace amounts of chromanone **9a** (Table 3, entry 1), and the aldehyde bearing a (*Z*)-2-pentenyl group gave no substantial amount of the corresponding product **9c** at all (entry 3). Interestingly, these results indicate that simple alkyl substitution on the terminal carbon of

Table 1. Optimization of the Reaction Conditions^a

OM	$\begin{array}{c} O \\ H \\ O \\ H \\ O \\ H \\ H \\ H \\ H \\ H \\$	O O O Me 2a
entry	variation of the standard conditions ^a	yield of 2a (%) ^b
1	none	69
2	4 instead of 3	2
3	5 instead of 3	21
4	6 instead of 3	2
5	7 instead of 3	11
6	DBU: 20 mol % instead of 40 mol %	34
7	TEA instead of DBU	3
8	K ₂ CO ₃ instead of DBU	32
9	KOt-Bu instead of DBU	69
10	10 mol % of 3, 20 mol % DBU	65
11	reaction time: 2 h instead of 1 h	81 (85) ^c

 a Standard conditions: **1a** (0.25 mmol), NHC+HX (20 mol %), DBU (40 mol %), 1,4-dioxane (0.5 mL), 120 °C, and 1 h. b GC yield using mesitylene as an internal standard. c Isolated yield in parentheses.



the allyl group significantly reduces the reactivity of the double bond. Switching to a phenyl substituent (**8b**) improved the yield of the desired product **9b** to 25% (entry 2). Intriguingly, however, slightly electron-withdrawing (*Z*)-benzyloxymethyl substitution at the terminal allylic carbon led to a smooth cyclization in 93% isolated yield (entry 4). The related substrate **8e**, with two allylic ethers, could be transformed into the desired chromanone **9e** with complete chemoselectivity (entry 5). Moreover, a triple bond was also found to be compatible with this novel cyclization reaction (entry 6).

The construction of all-carbon quaternary centers⁹ poses a great challenge. Gratifyingly, NHC precursor **3** catalyzed the cyclization of aldehyde substrates **10** to the chromanones **11a**-**d** with newly formed all-carbon stereocenters in the chromanone ring in excellent yields (Scheme 1). Electron-donating (**11a**) and -withdrawing (**11b**) substituents, as well as variation at the newly formed quaternary center (**11d**), were well tolerated.

Likely, the reaction is initiated by the formation of a Breslow intermediate.¹⁰ In a concerted Conia-ene-type transition state,¹¹ the enamine could then add to the olefin of the allyl moiety, and at the same time the negative charge building up on the other end of the olefin is stabilized by deprotonation of the hydroxyl group.

In conclusion, we have developed a transition-metal-free¹² NHCorganocatalyzed hydroacylation of unactivated double bonds. This method readily affords biologically and pharmaceutically interesting Table 2. NHC-Catalyzed Hydroacylation of Unactivated Double Bonds: Variation of the Aromatic Ring^a



^a General conditions: 1 (1.0 mmol), 3 (20 mol %), DBU (40 mol %), 1,4-dioxane (2.0 mL), 120 °C, and 2 h. Given are isolated yields. ^b 24 h. ^c 0.40 mmol scale. ^d 3 h. ^e Using 10 mol % of 3, an isolated yield of 91% was obtained. f 0.32 mmol scale. g 6 h. h 0.69 mmol scale, 132 h.

chroman-4-ones and demonstrates an unprecedented reactivity of the catalytically generated acyl anion equivalent. Efforts to obtain further insight into the mechanism and the development of an asymmetric variant are ongoing.

Table 3. Variation of the Allyl Moiety^a



^a General conditions: 8 (1.0 mmol), 3 (20 mol %), DBU (40 mol %), 1,4-dioxane (2.0 mL), 120 °C, 2 h. ^b Isolated yield. ^c 24 h.

Scheme 1. Formation of All-Carbon Quaternary Stereocenters



Acknowledgment. We thank Ms. Karin Gottschalk, Dr. Klaus Bergander, Dr. Sascha Nowak, and Prof. Uwe Karst for support and Prof. Jeffrey Bode and Prof. Armido Studer for helpful discussions. Generous financial support by the DFG and fellowships by the DAAD (K.H.), Alexander von Humboldt Foundation (A.T.B.), and the International NRW Graduate School of Chemistry (I.P.) are gratefully acknowledged. The research of F.G. has been supported by the Alfried Krupp Prize for Young University Teachers of the Alfried Krupp von Bohlen und Halbach Foundation.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental and characterization details. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

References

- (1) For reviews on NHC-organocatalysis, see: (a) Nair, V.; Vellalath, S.; Babu, B. P. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2008, 37, 2691. (b) Enders, D.; Niemeier, O.; Henseler, A. Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 5606. (c) Marion, N.; Díez-González, S.; Nolan, S. P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 2988. For reviews on NHCs as ligands in transition-metal catalysis, see: (d) Nolan, S. P., Ed. N-Heterocyclic Carbenes in Synthesis; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2006. (e) Glorius, F., Ed. N-Heterocyclic Carbenes in Transition Metal Catalysis; Springer: Berlin, 2007. (f) Kantchev, E. A. B.; O'Brien, C. J.; Organ, M. G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 2768. (g) Würtz, S.; Glorius, F. Acc. Chem. Res. 2008, 41, 1523. (h) Díez-González, S.; Marion, N.; Nolan, S. P. Chem. Rev. 2009, 109, 3612.
- (2) (a) Enders, D.; Kallfass, U. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 1743. (b) Sohn, S. S.; Rosen, E. L.; Bode, J. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 14370. (c) Burstein, C.; Glorius, F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 6205.
 (3) (a) Hachisu, Y.; Bode, J. W.; Suzuki, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125,
- (a) Thichad, J., Dode, J. W., Suzuki, K. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 2713. (c) Takikawa, H.; Hachisu, Y.; Bode, J. W.; Suzuki, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 3492. (d) Enders, D.; Niemeier, O.; Balensiefer, T. Angew. Chem., Int. *Ed.* 2006, 45, 1463. (e) Burstein, C.; Tschan, S.; Xie, X.; Glorius, F. *Synthesis* 2006, 2413. (f) Tewes, F.; Schlecker, A.; Harms, K.; Glorius, F. *J. Organomet. Chem.* 2007, 692, 4593. (g) Schrader, W.; Handayani, P. P.; Burstein, C.; Glorius, F. Chem. Commun. 2007, 716. (h) Hirano, K.; Piel, I.; Glorius, F. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2008, 350, 984. (i) Nair, V.; Vellalath, S.; Poonoth, M.; Mohan, R.; Suresh, E. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 507. (j) Chan, A.; Scheidt, K. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 4558.
- (4) (a) Murry, J. A.; Frantz, D. E.; Soheili, A.; Tillyer, R.; Grabowski, E. J. J.; Reider, P. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 9696. (b) Mennen, S. M.; Gipson, J. D.; Kim, Y. R.; Miller, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 1654. (c) Li, G.-Q.; Dai, L.-X.; You, S.-L. Chem. Commun. 2007, 852. (d) He, M.; Bode, J. W. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 3131. (e) Rommel, M.; Fukuzumi, T.; Bode, J. W. L. Am. Chem. Sc. 2009. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 17266.
- (5) For excellent reviews of the Stetter reaction, see: (a) Read de Alaniz, J.; Rovis, T. Synlett 2009, 1189. (b) Christmann, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 2632. For a theoretical investigation of the mechanism, see: (c) Hawkes, K. J.; Yates, B. F. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2008**, 5563. See also: (d) He, J.; Tang, S.; Liu, J.; Su, Y.; Pan, X.; She, X. *Tetrahedron* **2008**, 64, 8797.
- (6) For selected rhodium-catalyzed hydroacylations of olefins, see: (a) Coulter, M. M.; Dornan, P. K.; Dong, V. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 6932. (b) Oonishi, Y.; Mori, M.; Sato, Y. Synthesis 2007, 2323. (c) Hong, Y Barchuk, A.; Krische, M. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 6885. For thiol-catalyzed acyl radical cyclizations, see: (d) Yoshikai, K.; Hayama, T.; Nishimura, K.; Yamada, K.-i.; Tomioka, K. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 681
- (7) See Supporting Information for further details.
- (8) Lebeuf, R.; Hirano, K.; Glorius, F. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 4243.
 (9) Trost, B. M.; Jiang, C. Synthesis 2006, 369.
- (10) Breslow, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1958, 80, 3719.
- (11) For a recent example and key references on the Conia-ene reaction, see: Corkey, B. K.; Toste, F. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 17168. In our reaction, the NHC might generate a 1,3-enophile undergoing a concerted Conia-ene-type reaction. Despite different transition-state geometries of the Conia-ene and our reaction (six- vs five-membered, respectively), the overall similarity, including the polarity of the reacting olefins, is striking.



(12) ICP-OES (inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry) analyses were performed determining the amount of transition-metal impurities in the reaction mixture. No significant amounts of any transitionmetal contaminant were detected.

JA906361G